UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

Arnold Anderson,

Case No. 2:19-cv-02098-RFB-DJA

Plaintiff,

<u>ORDER</u>

v.

Pastuna, et al.,

Defendants.

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 18] of the Honorable Daniel J. Albregts, United States Magistrate Judge, entered April 27, 2020.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by May 11, 2020. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

. . .

Case 2:19-cv-02098-RFB-DJA Document 19 Filed 05/29/20 Page 2 of 2

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 18] is
2	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is DISMISSED without prejudice.
4	The Court Clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff.
5	
6	DATED: May 28, 2020.
7	RICHARD F. HOUDWARE, II
8	United States District Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	